DeepFix: A Fully Convolutional Neural Network for Predicting Human Eye Fixations

> Kumar Ayush Bachelor of Technology (Hons.) Computer Science and Engineering IIT Kharagpur

Where do you look on these images?













Imagine that you are a robot, and you've received this image from your camera. You need to run some expensive localization computations to decide where you are.



You could do this across the whole image....but you likely don't need to run the computation everywhere.



Running computations everywhere would take a long time .



Running computations everywhere would take a long time .



Running computations everywhere would take a long time .



Instead, doing it just here, or doing it here first could save you a lot of time. Therefore, need to prioritize the visual information and decide what is most important Understanding attention enables applications in computer graphics & vision, design

- Image Cropping/Thumbnailing
- Image and Video Compression
- Non-Photorealistic rendering
- Scene Understanding
- Advertising and Package Design
- Web Usability

- Localization/Recognition
- Object Detection
- Navigational Assistance
- Robot Action Vision
- Surveillance Systems
- Assistive Technology for blind or low-vision people

Where we move our eyes is dictated by two mechanisms

- Bottom-Up Mechanisms
- Top-Down Mechanisms





Visual Attention Mechanisms

Bottom-Up

- Automatic
- Reflexive
- Stimulus-driven



Top-Down

- Subject's Prior Knowledge
- Expectations
- Task Oriented
- Memory
- Behavioural Goals



Researchers create computational models of visual attention to predict where people look





Image

Saliency Map

Proposed Solution

DeepFix: A Fully Convolutional Neural Network for Predicting Human Eye Fixations

Achieves state-of-the-art results on multiple challenging saliency data sets

Very Deep Network

- Inspired by VGGnet (19 layers)
- 20 layers
- Small kernel sizes

maxpool conv-256 conv-256 maxpool conv-512 conv-512 maxpool conv-512 conv-512 maxpool FC-4096 FC-4096 FC-1000 softmax

image conv-64 conv-64 maxpool

conv-128

K. Simonyan and A. Zisserman. (2014). Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1556</u>

Fully Convolutional Network

- Fully connected layers at the end are replaced by convolutional layers with very large receptive fields.
- They capture the global context of the scene.
- End-to-end training



Long, J., Shelhamer, E., & Darrell, T. (2015). Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic Segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (pp. 3431-3440)

Inception Layers

- GoogLeNet
- Different kernel sizes operating in parallel.



Szegedy, C., Liu, W., Jia, Y., Sermanet, P., Reed, S., Anguelov, D., ... & Rabinovich, A. (2015). Going Deeper With Convolutions. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (pp. 1-9)

Location Biased Convolutional (LBC) layer

- Human Eye Fixations are Center Biased
 - Photographer Bias
 - Viewing Strategy
- Introducing LBC layer to model Center Bias

(2005)





The Network



Small convolutional filters of 3x3 with stride of 1 to allow a large depth without increasing the memory requirement



Max pooling layers (in red) reduce computation.



Gradual increase in the amount of channels to progressively learn richer semantic representations: 64, 128, 256, 512...

Inception Block

d No. of channels in the block's output



: Convolutional Layer followed by ReLU

Inception Block

d No. of channels in the block's output



EUC. LOSS

Convolutional Layer followed by ReLU

Inception Block

d No. of channels in the block's output



: Convolutional Layer followed by ReLU

Inception Block

d No. of channels in the block's output



Very large receptive fields of 25x25 by introducing holes of size 6 in kernels

: Convolutional Layer followed by ReLU

Inception Block

d No. of channels in the block's output







Location Biased Convolutional (LBC) layers

$$R_{c}(x,y) = \mathcal{R}\left(\sum_{i,j} \left(\mathbf{I}(x+i,y+j) * \mathbf{W}_{c}(i,j) + \mathbf{L}(x+i,y+j) + \mathbf{U}_{c}(i,j) + \mathbf{L}(x+i,y+j) + \mathbf{U}_{c}(i,j) + \mathbf{L}(x+i,y+j) + \mathbf{U}_{c}(i,j) + \mathbf{L}(x+i,y+j) + \mathbf{U}_{c}(i,j) +$$

: Convolutional Layer followed by ReLU

Inception Block

d No. of channels in the block's output



Final output W/8xH/8 is upsampled.

Experiments

Results

Image	GBVS[12]	eDN[40]	BMS[63]	Mr-CNN[41]	DeepFix(propose	d) Ground-truth
	-	53	280	3	•	
	1	6.2		1.94	•••	
		0			_	
	2002	1		Contain the	Britis al-	
11	1	8.7	1			n.
	1		1.00			- 555 P
	-			Suitero :	•	
	<u>م</u>			$\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}}$	•	$\mathbf{t}^{\hat{\sigma}}$,

Comparison of Ground Truth and Predicted Saliency Map

Various metrics are used to evaluate the performance of a given Saliency Model

- AUC Judd
- AUC Borji
- Shuffled AUC
- Earth Mover's Distance
- Similarity
- Correlation Coefficient
- Normalized Saliency Scanpath
- Kullback-Leibler divergence

TABLE I

Results

EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION ON CAT2000 TEST SET

Method	AUC Judd	SIM	EMD	AUC- Borji	shuff. AUC	CC	NSS
DeepFix	0.87	0.75	1.11	0.81	0.57	0.88	2.29
CAS [68]	0.77	0.50	3.09	0.76	0.60	0.42	1.07
Judd [57]	0.84	0.46	3.61	0.84	0.56	0.54	1.30
GBVS [12]	0.80	0.51	2.99	0.79	0.58	0.50	1.23

TABLE II

EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION ON MIT300 TEST SET

Method	AUC	SIM	EMD	AUC-	shuff.	CC	NSS
	Judd			Borji	AUC		
DeepFix	0.87	0.67	2.04	0.80	0.71	0.78	2.26
Salicon [43]	0.87	0.60	2.62	0.85	0.74	0.74	2.12
Mr-CNN [41]	0.77	0.45	4.33	0.76	0.69	0.41	1.13
DG-I [38]	0.84	0.39	4.97	0.83	0.66	0.48	1.22
BMS[63]	0.83	0.51	3.35	0.82	0.65	0.55	1.41
eDN [40]	0.82	0.41	4.56	0.81	0.62	0.45	1.14
CAS [68]	0.74	0.43	4.46	0.73	0.65	0.36	0.95
Judd [57]	0.81	0.42	4.45	0.80	0.60	0.47	1.18
GBVS [12]	0.81	0.48	3.51	0.80	0.63	0.48	1.24

Results

TABLE III

EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION ON PASCAL-S DATASET

Method	AUC	SIM	EMD	AUC-	shuff.	CC	NSS
	Judd			Borji	AUC		
DeepFix	0.91	0.65	0.54	0.82	0.73	0.78	2.60
Salicon [43]	~			87	0.72	=	
SU [47]	0.89	0.59	0.73	0.81	0.72	0.69	2.22
JN [69]	0.88	0.50	1.04	0.86	0.69	0.68	1.90
eDN [40]	0.89	0.39	1.29	0.87	0.65	0.55	1.42
BMS[63]	0.80	0.41	1.32	0.78	0.67	0.44	1.28
GBVS [12]	0.84	0.43	1.16	0.82	0.65	0.51	1.36

TABLE IV

Results

EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION ON OSIE DATASET

Method	AUC	SIM	EMD	AUC-	shuff.	CC	NSS
	Judd			Borji	AUC		
DeepFix	0.91	0.66	1.04	0.83	0.79	0.80	3.04
eDN [40]	0.82	0.36	2.02	0.82	0.68	0.40	1.16
BMS[63]	0.83	0.43	1.89	0.82	0.76	0.46	1.47
GBVS [12]	0.82	0.42	1.67	0.80	0.68	0.44	1.35
AWS [70]	0.82	0.42	1.93	0.81	0.76	0.45	1.45

TABLE V

EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION ON FIGRIM DATASET

Method	AUC	SIM	EMD	AUC-	shuff.	CC	NSS
	Judd			Borji	AUC		
DeepFix	0.90	0.66	1.10	0.84	0.67	0.80	2.51
eDN [40]	0.87	0.37	2.88	0.86	0.62	0.50	1.38
BMS[63]	0.76	0.38	3.00	0.73	0.64	0.34	1.05
GBVS [12]	0.82	0.43	2.29	0.81	0.62	0.45	1.26
AWS [70]	0.72	0.36	3.20	0.74	0.64	0.29	0.89

